# IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT OF THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF GEORGIA NEWNAN DIVISION 

Oliver Jordan;
Plaintiff,
v.

Evans Delivery Company, Inc., ENC Holding Corporation, and ACE American Insurance Company;

Defendant.

## PLAINTIFF'S RESPONSE TO DEFENDANTS' MOTION TO STAY, OR IN THE ALTERNATIVE, MOTION TO LIMIT THE SCOPE OF DISCOVERY

Plaintiff respectfully files this response to Defendants' motion to stay, or in the alternative, motion to limit the scope of discovery as follows.

## I. Factual and Procedural Background

After dark on October 1, 2019, a driver operating a tractor trailer for Defendants Evans Delivery Company, Inc. and ENC Holding Corporation (collectively, "the Evans Defendants") was illegally backing the tractor trailer across Temple Avenue / US Highway 27. See Police Report (Ex. "A"). The tractor-trailer was blocking at least both northbound lanes, the center turn lane, and
the right shoulder of the road. See EDC 30(b)(6) Dep. 34:5-14, 52:1-53:10 (Ex. "B"). (He was probably also blocking one of the southbound lanes.) On that same night, Mr. Oliver Jordan was driving north on Temple Avenue coming home from Waffle House. Because he was unable to see the dark trailer in time, he crashed into the side of the trailer, sustaining serious injuries. See Police Report. The tractor-trailer driver was cited for illegal backing and illegal stopping. Id. The driver forfeited bond as to those two citations, which constitutes an admission of guilt. See Dispositions (Trial Ex. 2 \& 3; collectively Ex. "C" hereto); O.C.G.A. § 40-13-58; Highsmith v. Tractor Trailer Svc., No. 2:04-CV-164, 2005 WL 6032882, at *6-8 (May 13, 2010).


This illustration shows how the collision happened.

Mr. Jordan suffered serious injuries in the collision, as the photos below show. Mr. Jordan was 83 at the time of the collision, and on August 24, 2020, he died from those injuries.


The image above is a screenshot from one of the responding law enforcement officers' body cameras.

On August 18, 2020, Plaintiff deposed the corporate representative of Defendant Evens Delivery Company, Inc. ("EDC"). The corporate representative denied that the tractor-trailer driver was even partially at fault for the collision, but nonetheless admitted that if another of EDC's drivers proposed to back his truck across a five-lane highway at night in this manner, "I would advise him that I would prefer he made a different decision." $30(\mathrm{~b})(6)$ Dep. at 20:11-21:1; 53:11-20.

The deposition of the truck driver, Paul Reed, had been noticed for August
10, 2020. See Doc 8. However, because Defendants' responses to written discovery were not complete by that date, that deposition had to be postponed.

The parties rescheduled it for September 30, 2020, and Plaintiff filed an appropriate Notice of Deposition. See Doc 35 .

On the afternoon of Wednesday, September 23, 2020, Defendants for the first time suggested delaying the truck driver's deposition a second time. See Correspondence (Ex. "D"). The following morning, Plaintiff replied that "[w]e do not see any reason for delay." Id. Defendants filed a suggestion of death and motion to stay discovery on September 25, 2020, just five days before the longnoticed deposition of the truck driver. See Doc. 37. This court should deny defendant's motion to stay because there is no need for delay.

Oliver Jordan died without any spouse and having had only one child, Jean Rocker. Ms. Rocker is the wrongful death claimant pursuant to O.C.G.A. § 51-42(a). Ms. Rocker is also the sole heir pursuant to O.C.G.A. § 53-2-1(c), and is in the process of being appointed as the personal representative of Mr. Jordan's estate. See Exhibit "E". The undersigned counsel represents Ms. Rocker, and has for quite some time.

## II. Argument and Citation to Authority

Rule 1 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure directs that the Rules "should be construed and administered to secure the just, speedy, and inexpensive
determination of every action and proceeding." This personal injury action survives Mr. Jordan's death. See O.C.G.A. § 9-2-41. As such, the purposes of the federal rules of civil procedure would be best served here by allowing discovery to continue.

Defendants cite no authority for the proposition that the filing of suggestion of death authorizes a stay of discovery. Upon the filing of a suggestion of death, the court is "empowered to set a time limit within which a substitution ha[s] to be consummated," after which a case may be dismissed. Escareno v. Carl Nolte Sohne GmbH \& Co., 77 F.3d 407, 411 (11th Cir.1996). However, nothing in Rule 25 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure provides for a stay of the proceedings or discovery pending the substitution of the decedent's legal representative. Filing a suggestion of death on the record has a very narrow role-it commences the 90 day period within which a motion for substitution must be filed. Schmidt v. Merrill Lynch Trust Co., 2008 WL 2694891, *2 (M.D. Fla. Jun. 30, 2008) (footnotes omitted) (noting 3B Moore's Federal Practice § 25.13[1]).

Here, Plaintiff's sole heir, Jean Rocker, has already filed a petition for letters of administration in the Probate Court of Coweta County, and is in the process of being appointed as the administrator of Mr. Jordan's estate. The parties have already begun discovery, so continuing the discovery process would not impose an
undue burden on Defendants. See Chudasama v. Mazda Motor Corp., 123 F.3d 1353, 1367-68 (11th Cir. 1997) (discussing stays of discovery before discovery begins in the context of a motion to dismiss). Thus, Defendants' motion to stay is not a dispositive motion for which a stay of discovery is warranted.

Mr. Jordan's estate is in fact the "real party in interest" as contemplated by Rule 17 of the FRCP. Defendants misread Rule 17 for the proposition that there is no cause of action to prosecute until a legal representative is substituted. Rule 17's purpose is to protect defendants from similar actions by one other than a party to the initial action. Celanese Corp. of America v. John Clark Industries, 214 F.2d 551, 556 (5th Cir. 1954). That purpose is not served here, by staying discovery where there is an estate in existence with the legal representative pending appointment as administrator. Defendants argue that theoretically, a stay of discovery could be authorized if, hypothetically, someone other than the sole legal heir pursuant to O.C.G.A. § 53-2-1(c) could be instead appointed administrator of Mr. Jordan's estate and then bring a separate action against Defendants.

Apparently recognizing that such a scenario would be exceedingly unlikely, Defendants have moved in the alternative for a partial stay of discovery. That is, Defendants argue that they should nonetheless be permitted to seek discovery from the sources they desire, such as Mr. Jordan's medical providers. This would
unfairly benefit Defendants and is inconsistent with the goals of the Federal Rules of Procedure.

## III. Conclusion

Plaintiff respectfully requests that the Court deny Defendants' motion in its entirety.
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## Exhibit "B"

# In The Matter Of: <br> Jordan vs. Evans Delivery 

Deposition Of:<br>30(b)(6) Matthew Bates

Taken On:
8/18/2020

Pope Reporting \& Video, LLC
2741 Pangborn Road
404-856-0966
www.popereporting.com


|  | Page 5 | Page 7 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | PROCEEDINGS | 1 A. In Gilbertsville, Pennsylvania. |
| 2 | MR. BUTLER: Mr. Bates, have you given | 2 Q. Is that where you are today as we're taking |
| 3 | depositions before? | 3 this deposition? |
| 4 | THE WITNESS: I have. | 4 A. Correct. |
| 5 | MR. BUTLER: Okay. | 5 Q. Who is your employer? |
| 6 | THE WITNESS: Can you hear me all right? | 6 A. Evans Delivery Company. |
| 7 | MR. BUTLER: Yeah. I can hear you fine. | 7 Q. And so when you go into work, where do you go? |
| 8 | Let's see. I guess this would be a good time | 8 A. Are you asking me the town? |
| 9 | to test the screens here to make sure I can do it | 9 Q. Yes. |
| 10 | right. | 10 A. Okay. It's two words. I'll spell the first |
| 11 | Can y'all see what they now call Westlaw | 11 word. First word is Schuylkill. It's |
| 12 | Classic? | 12 S-c-h-u-y-l-k-i-l-l. Second word is Haven, Pennsylvania. |
| 13 | MS. ADAIR: Yes. | 13 Q. We're having a little trouble hearing you. I |
| 14 | MR. BUTLER: All right. Great. Well, let's | 14 don't know if it's a microphone issue on your end or |
| 15 | get started. | 15 what. |
| 16 | This will be the deposition of Evans Delivery | 16 A. All right. Let me try to lean in. Can you |
| 17 | -- are we on the steno record? | 17 hear me better now? |
| 18 | VIDEOGRAPHER: Question for you. I've been | 18 Q. Yeah, I can hear you better now. You said |
| 19 | asked to do a read-on as the taker of the video. Is | 19 Schuylkill Haven, Pennsylvania, I think. |
| 20 | that going to be okay with everybody? | 20 A. Correct. |
| 21 | MR. BUTLER: Fine with me. | 21 Q. And is that the corporate headquarters for the |
| 22 | VIDEOGRAPHER: Okay. | 22 Evans Network of Companies? |
| 23 | MR. BUTLER: Don't start going to video just | 23 A. Correct. |
| 24 | yet. | 24 Q. Is it also the corporate headquarters of Evans |
| 25 | VIDEOGRAPHER: Okay. Let me know when you're | 25 Delivery Company? |
|  | Page 6 | Page 8 |
| 1 | ready to go to video, and then I'll do my read-on | 1 A. Correct. |
| 2 | and everything like that. | 2 Q. You work for both companies, I think; is that |
| 3 | MR. BUTLER: Okay. That sounds great. Will | 3 correct? |
| 4 | do. | 4 MS. ADAIR: What do you mean "both companies"? |
| 5 | Ready on steno? | 5 MR. BUTLER: The Evans Network of Companies and |
| 6 | COURT REPORTER: Yes, I'm ready. | 6 Evans Delivery Company. |
| 7 | MR. BUTLER: All right. This will be the | 7 THE WITNESS: The Evans Network is just a |
| 8 | deposition of Evans Delivery Company taken pursuant | 8 marketing moniker. It's not a company. |
| 9 | to Rule 30(b)(6), taken pursuant to notice and | 9 BY MR. BUTLER: (Resuming) |
| 10 | agreement, taken for purposes of trial and all other | 10 Q. What is the name of the entity that owns the |
| 11 | purposes permitted by the federal rules. | 11 Evans Delivery Company and Allegiant and Polaris and all |
| 12 | And with that, let's go on video. | 12 those other brands that are associated with the Evans |
| 13 | VIDEOGRAPHER: All right. | 13 Network of Companies? |
| 14 | MR. BUTLER: All right. Will the court | 14 A. Well, Allegiant and Polaris are brands. They |
| 15 | reporter please swear the witness. | 15 aren't companies. And those brands are owned and |
| 16 | COURT REPORTER: If you would -- if you would, | 16 operated by Evans. Evans is owned by a holding company |
| 17 | raise your right hand for me, please. | 17 called -- I think it's ENC Acquire Corporation. |
| 18 | MATTHEW J. BATES, | 18 Q. Are you an employee of any company other than |
| 19 | being duly sworn, was examined and testified as | 19 Evans Delivery Company? |
| 20 | follows: | 20 A. No. |
| 21 | CROSS-EXAMINATION | 21 Q. What is your position at Evans Delivery |
| 22 | BY MR. BUTLER: | 22 Company? |
| 23 | Q. State your name for the record, please, sir. | 23 A. I'm vice president of risk. |
| 24 | A. Matthew Joseph Bates. | 24 Q. Is that risk management and safety? |
| 25 | Q. Where do you live? | 25 A. At one point in time, it was, but it no longer |

```
1 is.
    Q. All right. If you are not in charge of safety
anymore, who is?
    A. My safety duties are currently being
transitioned to an individual named Tom Burke.
    Q. When can that transition start?
    A. Tom was hired shortly before the COVID
outbreak, so earlier this year.
    Q. Earlier in 2020?
    A. Correct.
    Q. You're aware that today Evans Delivery Company
has chosen you to speak on its behalf in this deposition;
correct?
    A. I am.
    Q. I'm going to show you now what has been marked
as Plaintiff's Exhibit No. 40. Excuse me, I've got to
pull it back up. You see Exhibit 40 on the screen?
        (Plaintiff's Exhibit No. }40\mathrm{ marked.)
    A. I do.
    Q. That appears to be the notice of deposition for
this deposition; correct?
    A. It does.
    Q. A notice of deposition is the document that
officially said we'd be taking your deposition today;
right?
```

```
A. I believe that's correct.
    Q. I presume you've reviewed Plaintiff's Exhibit
40 before this deposition; is that correct?
    A. It is.
    Q. And Plaintiff's Exhibit 40, the notice provides
a list of some of the topics we might ask you about
today; is that correct?
    A. Yes.
    Q. In addition to being the director of risk,
you're are also a lawyer, aren't you?
    A. I am.
    Q. You went to law school at Duquesne University
School of Law, I believe.
    A. Duquesne, but correct.
    Q. Okay. Have you given depositions before?
    A. I have.
    Q. How many times?
    A. I don't know. I don't know.
    Q. Well, like, 5 or, like, 50, or, like, 500?
    A. Certainly not 500. Certainly more than 5. I
don't whether it's less or more than 50. I would venture
to guess less.
Q. Okay. Have most of those deposition been in
connection with your work with Evans Delivery Company?
    A. Correct.
```

2 someone was alleging injuries and that an Evans truck was
3 involved?
A. The majority have been auto liability, but there have been other matters.
Q. When you speak about "auto liability," that
refers to a case in which someone is alleging injuries
and an Evans truck was involved; is that correct?
A. Correct.
Q. I'd like to get some background from you about
11 Evans generally, I guess, including that marketing name,
12 The Evans Network of Companies. Tell me -- can you now
13 see Plaintiff's Exhibit 41 on the screen in front of you?
(Plaintiff's Exhibit No. 41 marked.)
A. I can.
Q. On the left there, we see the logo. It says
"The Evans Network of Companies"; right?
A. Correct.
Q. And did you just tell me -- if I heard you
right, that's not a real company. That's just a
marketing brand or marketing entity?
A. It's not an entity. It's a marketing effort.

## Correct.

Q. A marketing what?
A. Effort.
4 A. The majority have been auto liability, but
5 there have been other matters.
9 A. Correct.
A. I can.
"The Evans Network of Companies"; right?
A. Correct.
Q. And did you just tell me -- if I heard you
marketing brand or marketing entity?
A. It's not an entity. It's a marketing effort.
A. Effort.
Q. A marketing effort.
Okay. Well, anyway, do you recognize Plaintiff's
Exhibit 41 here as the "About Us" page for the Evans
Network of Companies?
A. Generally, yes.
Q. All right. If we go, I guess, to the bottom of
the first page, we can see the URL here. That would be
consistent with being the "About" page for The Evans
Network of Companies; right?
A. Correct.
Q. Now, we've established the Evans Delivery
Company is a part of The Evans Network of Companies;
right?
A. Correct.
Q. How big is the Evans Network of Companies?
A. I'm not certain how you want me to measure it.
Q. All right. Well, how many states does it
operate in?
A. Well, we're a trucking company, and most
trucking companies operate in all 48 states, contiguous
states, and we, being a trucking company, fit that
description.
Q. I mean, isn't it true that Evans Network of
Companies has, like, 600 service locations?
A. I would not use that number, but I would use a

```
number in the hundreds, correct.
    Q. Well, let's scroll down a little bit in
Plaintiff's Exhibit 41, and we'll come to -- this is the
top of what? It's paginated as page 2. You see the
highlighted language in front of you there?
    A. I do.
    Q. That says "The network includes }600\mathrm{ service
center locations, more than 6,000 trucks, and revenues in
excess of 1.2 billion dollars." Is that correct?
    A. You read that right.
    Q. All right. Do you have any reason to disagree
with this language from the Evans web page?
    A. I wouldn't have used the 600 service centers
locations. I know why it's being used, but I would not
have used that description.
    Q. Well, anyway, if we scroll down, we can see
some of the brands associated with the marketing network
-- effort -- known as Evans Network of Companies; right?
    A. Correct.
    Q. And among these brands, we see Evans Delivery
Company, your employer; right?
    A. Correct.
    Q. And we also see Allegiant Intermodal, which was
also on the side of Paul Reed's truck; correct?
    A. Correct.
```

    Q. And if we keep coming down, we come to a list
    of the senior management team. If we look here, it's got
you listed as the vice president of safety and risk
management; right?
A. Right.
Q. We also see a C. Ryan Bates. Is that your
brother?
A. Correct.
Q. We see a Matthew "Bo" Bates listed as the
president and CEO. Is that your father?
A. Correct.
Q. My understanding is that Albert "Bert" Evans,
the chairman of the board and the son of the founder, is,
I think, your father's father-in-law; is that right?
A. Correct.
Q. And then my understanding is that the founder
of the company was your great-grandfather; is that right?
A. Correct.
Q. So who -- at the time this collision occurred
on October 1, 2019, who was the highest ranking safety
officer in The Evans Network of Companies?
A. Me.
Q. And how many people were you managing at that
time?
A. I had three direct reports. The team in total,
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1 though, was somewhere between 50 and 60 employees, but I
-- I only had three direct reports.
Q. Who did you report to? In other words, who was your boss or supervisor?
A. Bo Bates.
Q. As I understand it, you graduated from college in 2005; is that right?
A. It is.
Q. Finished law school in 2008?
A. Correct.
Q. You worked as a lawyer at a law firm from 2008
to 2012, I think; is that right?
A. It is.
Q. And then you started work at Evans as a staff
attorney in 2012?
A. Correct.
Q. Three years later, you were promoted to vice
president of risk management and safety, reporting
directly to the CEO, who was your father; is that right?
A. Correct.
Q. Okay. Have you ever been a truck driver?
A. I have not.
Q. Well, Evans has -- however many locations it
has, it has a bunch of locations in Georgia; isn't that correct?
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## A. We have locations in Georgia, correct. <br> Q. I'm going to show you what -- do you know how

 many?A. I could make a guess, but offhand I don't know a specific number, no.
Q. Okay. I think -- can you see now what I've
marked as Plaintiff's Exhibit No. 42?
(Plaintiff's Exhibit No. 42 marked.)
A. I can.
Q. It says "Service Center Locator," doesn't it?
A. It does.
Q. It has the Evans Delivery Company logo on it?
A. Correct.
Q. Right. If we look down -- I guess I'll have to represent to you that, before making this printout, I
selected Georgia. If we look down, we can see a whole
bunch of locations of the Evans Deliver Company or Evans
Network of Companies in Georgia. Does that appear to be correct?
A. Correct.
Q. If we look at the URL that prints here
(indicating) on the bottom of each page of Plaintiff's
Exhibit 42, we can see the URL is at least consistent
with this being an Evans Delivery web page; right?
A. Correct.

```
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    Q. Now, I've gone through and counted all of
these. You can tell they cover 14 pages. I count 47
locations in Georgia. Does that sound right to you, or
would you prefer to count?
A. I trust your counting ability.
    Q. All right. Well, I also pulled pictures of a
few of these locations just so we could get a feel for
what they are. Have you ever visited Evans location in
Savannah, Georgia?
A. I have.
    Q. All right. Well, do you see Plaintiff's
Exhibit 43 in front of you?
                (Plaintiff's Exhibit No. 43 marked.)
    A. I do.
    Q. Do you recognize it?
    A. That looks like the yard, although I don't see
    the office space; so I'm not entirely sure, but I do see
    the banner there, which leads me to believe it is the
    yard.
    Q. All right. And then if we look up at the top
    here (indicating), we can see the Google Maps URL;
    correct?
    A. Correct.
    Q. You see the address here (indicating) of 503
    Bourne Avenue; correct?
```


## A. Correct.

Q. And that's also the address that we see on

Plaintiff's Exhibit 42 on page 2; correct?
A. Correct.
Q. Have you ever visited the Evans location in

Palmetto, Georgia?
A. I have not visited that one, no.
Q. Well, do you see Plaintiff's Exhibit 44 on the
screen in front of you?
(Plaintiff's Exhibit No. 44 marked.)
A. I do.
Q. Does that look like a Google Street View image?
A. I assume so.
Q. Okay. Do you see the placard there for Polaris Intermodal?
A. I do.
Q. That's an Evans brand, isn't it?
A. It is.
Q. And if we look on the left here (indicating)
under the Google URL, we see the address of 8409 Tatum
Road; correct?
A. You read that correctly.
Q. If we go back to Plaintiff's Exhibit 42 and go
down to page 7 , we find -- here we go. On page 9 , excuse
me, we find that same 8409 Tatum Road address; correct?
A. You read that correctly.
Q. Okay. Is that consistent with your knowledge
that Evans does, in fact, have a location in Palmetto,
Georgia?
A. I don't know specifically whether it's in

Palmetto. I know that there's a location in Georgia. I
don't know the exact city.
Q. Okay. Well, I'd like to talk with you next
about the collision that this case is about. But before
I get too deep into it, I wanted to make sure I was
correct in my understanding of where your company, Evans
stands on all this stuff.
So let me show you now what I've marked as
Plaintiff's Exhibit 7. Do you see that on the screen in
front of you?
(Plaintiff's Exhibit No. 7 marked.)
A. I do.
Q. Okay. Plaintiff's Exhibit 7 is a court
pleading; right?
A. I don't consider discovery pleadings, but...
Q. Well, anyway, the name of the court and the name of the case is on it; right?
A. Correct. That's a caption. Correct.
Q. All right. And do you see where it says
"Defendant Evans Delivery Company, Inc.'s Responses and

```
Objections to Plaintiff's First Requests For Admission"?
    A. I do.
    Q. You know how requests for admissions work,
don't you?
    A. I do.
    Q. Basically, one party makes a statement. In
this, indicates Plaintiff makes a statement, and then
Evans can either admit it or deny it or say they don't
know; right?
    A. They can respond, correct.
    Q. Okay. Well, let's look at some of the requests
for admissions and responses. And the ones I want to
look at are the first two here (indicating). Number 1
says "Paul Reed was at fault for the collision on
October 1, 2019"; correct?
A. You read that correctly.
Q. And Evans' response was "Denied"; correct?
A. You read that correctly.
Q. Request for Admission No. 2 says "Paul Reed was
partially at fault for the collision on October 1, 2019";
right?
A. You read that correctly.
Q. And Evans' response was "Denied"; right?
A. You read that correctly.
Q. Do you stand by those responses today?
```

A. I do.
Q. You know how requests for admissions work,

```
A. We do.
Q. All right. Well, who had the right-of-way?
MS. ADAIR: Object to form.
You can answer subject to the objection.
THE WITNESS: I'm not certain of the specific
law in Georgia who had the right-of-way.
BY MR. BUTLER:(Resuming)
    Q. Well, have you looked into this collision?
    A. I have.
    Q. Your company's investigated it; right?
    A. We have performed an investigation, correct.
    Q. Do you think it's important to know who got the
right-of-way?
    A. That was not a detail that was important to me
during my investigation.
    Q. Well, let's talk about it now and see what we
can figure out. The collision occurred on Temple Avenue,
which is also known as Georgia Highway 16, and Alternate
US Highway 27; correct?
    A. I'm not certain about the alternate names of
the road.
    Q. Okay. Well, let's look at an exhibit here.
I'll show you now what's been marked as Plaintiff's
Exhibit 12. Do you see that?
    (Plaintiff's Exhibit No. }12\mathrm{ marked.)
```

A. I see it.
Q. All right. And it's labeled "Google Earth
Overview"; right?
A. Correct.
Q. You've probably pulled this collision site up
on Google Earth yourself, hadn't you?
A. I did.
Q. Does this look familiar?
A. It does.
Q. Okay. So it says "Temple Avenue"; right?
A. Correct.
Q. And here (indicating) it says 16 , and here
(indicating) it says 27 ; correct?
A. It does.
Q. Okay. Now, we know that in this collision
Mr. Jordan and his pickup truck was heading north, and
Mr. Reed and the tractor trailer was backing into his
driveway; correct?
A. That's my understanding, yes.
Q. Now, if someone's going straight in a main
traffic lane and another person is backing across that
lane, who do you think has the right-of-way?
MS. ADAIR: Object to the form.
THE WITNESS: I still don't know the Georgia
law.

## BY MR. BUTLER: (Resuming)

Q. Well, let me show you another question [sic] before we get to talking about the law -- another
exhibit. Do you see Plaintiff's Exhibit 1 on the screen
in front of you?
(Plaintiff's Exhibit No. 1 marked.)
A. I do.
Q. Now, I'm not going to ask you to say this is correct because I don't think you've seen it before; so I
won't ask you to vouch for it. But I do want to ask you
this. Looking just at that picture, as between these two
vehicles, is it your testimony that you don't know who
would have the right-of-way?
MS. ADAIR: Object to form.
THE WITNESS: It's my testimony that I don't
know the Georgia law.
BY MR. BUTLER: (Resuming)
Q. Okay. Well, let's take a look at Plaintiff's
Exhibit No. 12. I tell you what, let's look at
Plaintiff's Exhibit 13. Do you see 13 on the screen in
front of you?
(Plaintiff's Exhibit No. 13 marked.)
A. I do.
Q. That appears to just be a zoomed in version of
the Google Maps image that was Plaintiff's Exhibit 12;
right?
A. It does.
Q. Let's think about it this way. Pretend that
Mr. Jordan was going straight, as he was in real life,
and a tractor trailer was making a left turn in front of
him across this lane. So, basically, this is just like
the real case, except the tractor trailer in this
hypothetical is making a left turn, going forward instead
of backing up. But if one person is going straight and
another person is turning across that lane, who has the
right-of-way?
MS. ADAIR: Object to form.
THE WITNESS: I don't know the Georgia law.
BY MR. BUTLER: (Resuming)
Q. Okay. Who would have the right-of-way where
you live?
MS. ADAIR: Same objection.
THE WITNESS: I'd -- the question asks me to
assume a whole bunch of facts to be true, and so the
person making the left-hand turn could have the
right-of-way in the event that his sight lines
doesn't identify any vehicles. So I just -- I have
a hard time answering the question because it asks
me to assume a lot of facts as true.
//I/I

```
BY MR. BUTLER:(Resuming)
    Q. You do have a driver's license; right?
    A. I do.
    Q. Okay. Well, one way to figure this out might
be to ask -- to look at who got the ticket; right?
            MS. ADAIR: Objection.
            THE WITNESS: I'm not certain of that, no.
BY MR. BUTLER:(Resuming)
    Q. Well, do you know who got the ticket or the
traffic citation in this collision?
    A. I'm aware that Mr. Reed was cited.
    Q. Okay. Do you know what he was cited for?
    A. I do not.
    Q. Do you think that's important?
    A. I think the police report tells us that.
    Q. Tells us what?
    A. What he was cited with.
    Q. All right. Well, that's right. Let's take a
look. Do you see Plaintiff's Exhibit 55 on the screen
ahead of you?
        (Plaintiff's Exhibit No. }55\mathrm{ marked.)
    A. I do.
    Q. All right. This first -- the first page is a
fax cover. Does page 2 appear to begin the police report
that you reviewed in connection with this case?
```

    A. It appears so, yes.
    Q. It's got Paul Reed's name, Oliver Jordan's
    name, and the date of the collision; right?
A. Correct.
Q. If we scroll down here (indicating) under
Reed's column, we can see he's got on two citation here
(indicating); right?
A. That's what I see, yes.
Q. Okay. Let's take a closer look at some of
that. I will show you the official court disposition.
Do you see Plaintiff's Exhibit 2 on the screen ahead of
you now?
(Plaintiff's Exhibit No. 2 marked.)
A. I do.
Q. This says "Uniform Traffic Citation Summons and
Accusation"; right?
A. You read that correctly.
Q. It has the date of the collision, Paul Reed's
name, and improper backing with the code section written
out there, doesn't it?
A. You read that correctly.
Q. And if we can scroll down to the next page,
we'll see the checkmark here that shows Mr. Reed
forfeited his bond. Do you see that?
A. I do.
Q. Forfeiting bond is the same as admitting guilt,
isn't it?
MS. ADAIR: Object to form.
THE WITNESS: I don't know the Georgia law.
BY MR. BUTLER: (Resuming)
Q. Okay. Well, so anyway, we've established now
that Mr. Reed received a citation for improper backing;
correct?
A. Correct.
Q. Let's look at Plaintiff's Exhibit 3. Do you
see that?
(Plaintiff's Exhibit No. 3 marked.)
A. I do.
Q. This is another traffic citation form; right?
A. Correct.
Q. This has the date of the collision, Paul Reed's
name, and improper stopping along with the code section;
correct?
A. Correct.
Q. If we scroll down to the second page of
Plaintiff's Exhibit 3, we'll see that he forfeited bond
here too; right?
A. You read that correctly.
Q. Now, Mr. Jordan did not receive a ticket or
citation in this collision, did he?
A. Not to my knowledge.
Q. Now, we said, I think, in connection with the
right-of-way, I think you said you weren't familiar with
Georgia law as it related to rights-of-way. Do I
remember that right?

## A. Correct.

Q. A Georgia police officer ought to know that, though, wouldn't you think?

MS. ADAIR: Object to form.
THE WITNESS: I don't know what the Georgia police officer does or doesn't know.
BY MR. BUTLER: (Resuming)
Q. Do you think a Georgia police officer would be familiar with Georgia traffic law?

MS. ADAIR: Object to form.
THE WITNESS: I can make assumptions, but I don't know.
BY MR. BUTLER: (Resuming)
Q. Well, I don't mean to -- oh, Lord, I lost my
exhibits -- to cause offense or beat a dead horse, but do you see that first page of Plaintiff's Exhibit 7 on the screen ahead of you now?

## A. Ido.

Q. Do you still stand by those two responses denying fault or partial fault for this collision?

| Page 29 | Page 31 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 A. I agree that we are responsible for the actions | 1 communications, I'm instructing him not to answer. |
| 2 in this collision, but in this instance, I don't believe | 2 BY MR. BUTLER: (Resuming) |
| 3 Mr. Reed's actions caused this accident; so, yes, I stand | 3 Q. Are you going to answer, Mr. Bates? |
| 4 beside the responses that were provided to the request | 4 A. In order for me to answer, I have to reveal -- |
| 5 for admissions. | 5 I even feel uncomfortable answering it because it's hard |
| 6 Q. Your microphone was cutting out again at the | 6 to answer without revealing things that were discussed; |
| 7 beginning of that answer. Can you say that once more. | 7 so I can't answer it. |
| 8 A. Sure. I said -- I think I said that I agree | 8 Q. All right. Well, I can't make you; so we're |
| 9 that we are responsible for the actions of Mr. Reed, but | 9 going to move on. |
| 0 in this particular instance, we don't believe Mr. Reed's | 10 Let's take a listen. I think I need to share my |
| 1 actions caused this accident; so, yes, we stand behind | 11 screen in order to do this; so I'm going to do that even |
| 2 our answers to the request for admissions. | 12 though it's just an audio recording. I'm going to play |
| 3 Q. Did you listen to the 9-1-1 recordings in this | 13 this for you now. Let me know if you cannot hear it. |
| 4 case? | 14 (Whereupon, a recording was played.) |
| 5 A. I listened to Mr. Reed's. I'm under the | 15 BY MR. BUTLER: (Resuming) |
| 6 impression there are others, and I did not listen to | 16 Q. That concludes the recording. Were you able to |
| 7 those. | 17 hear the screeching tires? |
| 8 Q. Why not? | 18 A. I was not. |
| 9 A. We're getting into attorney-client privilege. | 19 Q. Did you hear her say, "Oh, God"? |
| 0 Q. No, no. Why didn't you listen to the | 20 A. I did not. |
| 1 recordings? | 21 Q. Were you able to hear the recording? |
| A. We're getting into attorney-client privilege. | 22 A. Generally, yes, but it was somewhat garbled |
| Q. Are you going to refuse to answer that? | 23 given our talking to each other remote. |
| MS. ADAIR: I'm instructing him not to answer | 24 Q. Okay. Well, I'm going to ask you to assume |
| 5 anything he learned based on his communications with | 25 that in that recording, which we will have marked as |
| Page 30 | Page 32 |
| counsel. If he has information outside of those | 1 Plaintiff's Exhibit 6 to this deposition, you can hear |
| communications, of course, he can respond. | 2 some high pitch screeching consistent with the screeching |
| MR. BUTLER: Ms. Adair, are you instructing | 3 of tires, and then the caller says, "Oh, God," and then |
| Mr. Bates not to answer that question? | 4 the 9-1-1 operator asked what it was, and the caller says |
| MS. ADAIR: I'm instructing him not to answer | 5 that someone else almost hit the tractor trailer. |
| questions that are based on information he knows | 6 Now, would it seem significant to you that, even |
| solely from communications with this counsel, yes. | 7 after Mr. Jordan had struck this tractor trailer and the |
| 8 BY MR. BUTLER: (Resuming) | 8 taillights of his pickup truck were visible sticking out |
| Q. My question's real clear, and if someone's | 9 from underneath the trailer, another vehicle still almost |
| 0 going to tell me outright they refuse to answer, then we | 10 hit it? |
| 1 can move on, but the question is why didn't you listen to | 11 (Plaintiff's Exhibit No. 6 marked.) |
| 2 the other 9-1-1 recording? | 12 MS.ADAIR: Object to form. |
| MS. ADAIR: My objection and instructions to | 13 THE WITNESS: I have no way to answer that. |
| him are the same. If there is information outside | 14 BY MR. BUTLER: (Resuming) |
| of our communications that is responsive, he can | 15 Q. Well, you've been part of the investigation and |
| answer; otherwise, I'm instructing him not to | 16 analysis of this collision; right? |
| answer. | 17 A. Correct. |
| MR. BUTLER: So are you instructing him not to | 18 Q. And you knew you were going to be giving a |
| answer, or are you not? | 19 deposition on this topic today; right? |
| MS. ADAIR: Jeb, I was very clear. | 20 A. Correct. |
| MR. BUTLER: No -- | 21 Q. In fact, one of your noticed topics was how the |
| MS. ADAIR: If he has information that he knows | 22 subject collision occurred; right? |
| outside of our own communications, he is free to | 23 A. Correct. |
| give that. If, however, the only information that | 24 Q. Okay. Well, would it seem important to you |
| he has derived from our attorney-client | 25 that, even after Mr. Jordan had crashed into this trailer |

communications, I'm instructing him not to answer.
BY MR. BUTLER: (Resuming)
Q. Are you going to answer, Mr. Bates?
A. In order for me to answer, I have to reveal -I even feel uncomfortable answering it because it's hard to answer without revealing things that were discussed; so I can't answer it.
Q. All right. Well, I can't make you; so we're going to move on.

Let's take a listen. I think I need to share my screen in order to do this; so I'm going to do that even
though it's just an audio recording. I'm going to play
this for you now. Let me know if you cannot hear it.
(Whereupon, a recording was played.)
BY MR. BUTLER: (Resuming)
Q. That concludes the recording. Were you able to hear the screeching tires?
A. I was not.
Q. Did you hear her say, "Oh, God"?
A. I did not.
Q. Were you able to hear the recording?
A. Generally, yes, but it was somewhat garbled given our talking to each other remote.
Q. Okay. Well, I'm going to ask you to assume that in that recording, which we will have marked as

Plaintiff's Exhibit 6 to this deposition, you can hear
some high pitch screeching consistent with the screeching
of tires, and then the caller says, "Oh, God," and then
the 9-1-1 operator asked what it was, and the caller says
that someone else almost hit the tractor trailer.
Now, would it seem significant to you that, even
after Mr. Jordan had struck this tractor trailer and the
taillights of his pickup truck were visible sticking out
from underneath the trailer, another vehicle still almost hit it?
(Plaintiff's Exhibit No. 6 marked.)
MS. ADAIR: Object to form.
THE WITNESS: I have no way to answer that.
BY MR. BUTLER: (Resuming)
Q. Well, you've been part of the investigation and
A. Correct.
Q. And you knew you were going to be giving a
deposition on this topic today; right?
A. Correct.
Q. In fact, one of your noticed topics was how the
subject collision occurred; right?
A. Correct.
Q. Okay. Well, would it seem important to you
that, even after Mr. Jordan had crashed into this trailer

| Page 33 | Page 35 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 and the taillights from his pickup truck were sticking | 1 lanes of the US highway, do you think it's more or less |
| 2 out from underneath it, another driver still almost hit | 2 dangerous to do that at night? |
| 3 it? | MS. ADAIR: Object to form. |
| 4 MS. ADAIR: Object to form. | 4 THE WITNESS: I do not know how to answer that |
| 5 THE WITNESS: I have no idea if the other | 5 because there are reasons that you might want to do |
| 6 driver was eating a sandwich, whether the other | 6 it at night versus the day because there's less |
| 7 driver was on a cell phone, or the other driver was | $7 \quad$ traffic at night than during the day; so I just -- I |
| 8 in an argument with a passenger. I have no idea of | 8 don't know how to answer your question. |
| 9 the circumstances you're asking me to comment upon, | 9 BY MR. BUTLER: (Resuming) |
| 10 which is why I can't comment upon them. | 10 Q. You reckon a trailer is harder to see at night |
| 11 BY MR. BUTLER: (Resuming) | 11 or in the day? |
| 12 Q. Doesn't it at least suggest that that trailer | 12 MS. ADAIR: Object to form. |
| 13 was hard to see? | 13 THE WITNESS: I don't know any of the instances |
| 14 MS. ADAIR: Object to form. | 14 of the conspicuity in this particular case. |
| 15 THE WITNESS: I refer back to my answer I just | 15 BY MR. BUTLER: (Resuming) |
| 16 gave. I just -- I have no idea of the attentiveness | 16 Q. Well, no, sir, my question was do you reckon |
| 17 or the circumstances surrounding the other driver, | 17 it's harder to see a trailer at night or in the day? |
| 18 who apparently was nearby this accident. | 18 MS.ADAIR: Same objection. |
| 19 BY MR. BUTLER: (Resuming) | 19 THE WITNESS: Yeah, I don't know that given the |
| 20 Q. Well, let's back up a little bit. Don't you | 20 fact that there's headlight involved, taillights |
| 21 think it's dangerous to back a tractor trailer across a | 21 involved, conspicuity involved; so I don't know the |
| 22 five-lane highway like this? | 22 answer to that. |
| 23 MS. ADAIR: Object to form | 23 BY MR. BUTLER: (Resuming) |
| THE WITNESS: I don't think he backed across | 24 Q. Okay. If you're going to back a tractor |
| 25 five lanes; so I don't think that question is a fair | 25 trailer across at least three lanes of a US highway at |
| Page 34 | Page |
| question, but that aside, I don't think that the | 1 night, do you think it's more dangerous or less dangerous |
| 2 backing up circumstances is unsafe. I think it's a | 2 to do it without reflective triangles or a flagger? |
| 3 fact-in-circumstances-type question. | MS. ADAIR: Object to form. |
| 4 BY MR. BUTLER: (Resuming) | 4 THE WITNESS: I've not been made aware of |
| 5 Q. Well, how many lanes do you think the tractor | 5 instances where vehicles that aren't disabled or |
| 6 trailer blocked? | 6 aren't overdimensional utilize triangle or flaggers |
| $7 \quad$ A. It's my understanding that this particular | when backing. |
| 8 roadway has two lanes of travel in each direction with a | 8 BY MR. BUTLER: (Resuming) |
| 9 center lane that's intended to be a turn lane for either | 9 Q. Well, thank you. That wasn't part of my |
| 10 the north or southbound lanes and that Mr. Reed w | 10 question. My question was do you think it's more |
| 11 that travel -- or excuse me -- that turn lane attempting | 11 dangerous or less dangerous to do it without triangles or |
| 12 to back over to two northbound lanes. | 12 a flagger? |
| 13 Q. So he was blocking three lanes, then, at least? | 13 MS. ADAIR: Same objection. |
| 14 A. Correct. | 14 THE WITNESS: Well, I think my answer pointed |
| 15 Q. Don't you think it's dangerous to back a | 15 out that your question assumes things that aren't |
| 16 tractor trailer across three lanes of the US highway? | 16 customarily done but for vehicles being disabled or |
| 17 MS. ADAIR: Object to form. | 17 having overdimensional loads. But in the event we |
| 18 THE WITNESS: I think that it's a facts-and- | 18 assume things that don't happen within the industry, |
| 19 circumstance analysis. And I think that, in order | 19 that could make things safer. |
| 20 for him to enter or exit his property, he either | 20 BY MR. BUTLER: (Resuming) |
| needs to back in or back out. | 21 Q. Now, I said we'd get back to the alternatives |
| 22 BY MR. BUTLER: (Resuming) | 22 for parking; so let's do that. Do you see Plaintiff's |
| 23 Q. Well, we'll get to some alternatives, I guess, | 23 Exhibit No. 10 on the screen in front of you? |
| 24 in a minute. But if you think it's more -- if you're | 24 (Plaintiff's Exhibit No. 10 marked.) |
| 25 going to back a tractor trailer across at least three | 25 A. I still see the -- I don't know what to call |

```
it, but the -- there you go. Now you're getting off of
it.
Q. How about now? Do you see Plaintiff's
Exhibit 10 ?
    A. Now, I do.
    Q. All right. I'll represent to you that
Plaintiff's Exhibit 10 is a picture of the house where
Paul Reed parked the truck and trailer. If that's true,
don't you think he could have parked -- if necessary,
parked the truck crossways in his yard and moved it
later?
    MS. ADAIR: Object to form.
    THE WITNESS: I don't know the answer to that.
BY MR. BUTLER: (Resuming)
    Q. Don't you think that -- was there any reason it
was impossible for him to put out reflective triangles or
even flares?
    MS. ADAIR: Same objection.
    THE WITNESS: If your question is was it
    impossible, the answer is no.
BY MR. BUTLER: (Resuming)
    Q. Now, was it impossible for him or could he have
called a flagger to the scene if he had chosen to do
that?
    A. It's unreasonable, but it's possible.
```

Q. Any reason why he couldn't have done that?
A. Getting back to what I said earlier, flaggers
are utilized in the event that a vehicle is disabled or
in the event the load is overdimensional; so is it
possible? Yes, but it's unreasonable.
Q. Okay. Now, we talked about the Evans Delivery
yard in Palmetto; right?
A. Correct.
Q. Do you know where Palmetto is?
A. Offhand, I don't.
Q. Well, I'll represent to you it's not all that
far from Newnan. You know Newnan is where this collision
happened; right?
MS. ADAIR: Okay. Jeb, could you repeat that
question. I couldn't hear it.
MR. BUTLER: I'll rephrase it. I think it was
not a very good question.
MS. ADAIR: Oh, I just didn't hear.
MR. BUTLER: Can you hear me better now?
MS. ADAIR: Yeah.
BY MR. BUTLER: (Resuming)
Q. All right. Were you aware that Palmetto,
Georgia, is not very far from Newnan, Georgia?
MS. ADAIR: Object to form.
THE WITNESS: I don't know the geography.

BY MR. BUTLER: (Resuming)
Q. Okay. Well, let me show you what we've marked
as Plaintiff's Exhibit 44, and this is one that we've
seen before. Do you see that -- the picture of the Evans
5 location Palmetto branded Polaris Intermodal?
A. I do.
Q. Do you now see Plaintiff's Exhibit 45? (Plaintiff's Exhibit No. 45 marked.)
A. I do. Your questions misunderstand our corporate structure, but I see it.
Q. All right. Do you see the URL there
(indicating) that suggests it's a Google Maps image?
A. I see the URL.
Q. See that same address, 8409 Tatum Road?
A. Ido.
Q. Do you see this lot underneath the pin that
says 8409 Tatum Road?
A. I see the image.
Q. There's plenty of room to park a truck or a trailer there, isn't there?
A. Not for Mr. Reed.
Q. Is it your testimony that Mr. Reed's trailer would not have fit here?

## A. It's my testimony that he's not permitted to

 park there.Q. Well, if the choice was to back his trailer
across that US highway at night or park here
(indicating), are you saying Evans would not have allowed
him to park in the spot that's shown in Plaintiff's
Exhibit 45?
MS. ADAIR: Object to form.
THE WITNESS: What I'm saying is that the yard
that we're looking at right now is not owned by
Evans, and it is not leased by Evans. It is leased
or owned by someone who's a partner to Evans who runs under our Polaris brand, and that yard is, therefore, made available to trucks who run under the Polaris brand and customers who intend to (unintelligible) to the Polaris brand, and that Mr. Reed drove for our Allegiant brand.

So when I said that yard is not available to
Mr. Reed, it's because Mr. Reed was not driving for Dispatch 5 or moving freight for this particular location?

BY MR. BUTLER: (Resuming)
Q. Let's go back to Plaintiff's Exhibit 42. Well, that's not what I wanted. Excuse me. I want 41 . You see Plaintiff's Exhibit 41 on the screen in front of you?

## A. I do.

Q. Do you remember this as The Evans Network of

```
1 Companies "About Us" page?
    A. I do.
    Q. If we scroll down to -- it looks like it's
going to be the third page of Plaintiff's Exhibit 41 --
let's see here. Do we see the Polaris Intermodal logo
there?
    A. I do.
    Q. Anybody call and ask if Reed could park there?
    A. I don't know the answer to that question, but
your question, again, misunderstands the corporate
structure.
    Q. This sign here (indicating) that I've just
highlighted on the third page of the Plaintiff's
Exhibit 41 -- that's the same sign as we see on the fence
here in Plaintiff's Exhibit 44, isn't it?
    A. I own the -- I shouldn't say "I." Evans owns
the name. Evans owns the artwork. Evans does not own
the yard. Evans does not lease the yard.
    Q. Is it the same logo or not?
    A. I own the name. Evans owns the name and Evans
owns the artwork.
    Q. I think I asked if it was the same logo or not.
    A. And I think I gave an answer.
    Q. Was the answer yes?
    A. It was not yes. It was Evans owns the name and
```

Evans owns the artwork.
Q. I was not being very clear. My question was
whether this highlighted logo here (indicating) on the
third page of Plaintiff's Exhibit 41 that says "Polaris
Intermodal" is the same logo as the one hanging on the
fence here (indicating) in Plaintiff's Exhibit 44. Is it
the same logo?
A. Right. There the same because I own the --
Evans owns the artwork and Evans owns the name, but that
is all it owns.
MR. BUTLER: Let's go off video for a minute,
and I'm going to get my exhibits organized a little
better, and that should steam us towards conclusion.
I don't think we'll be here a whole lot longer.
VIDEOGRAPHER: We are off video at 2:46 p.m.
(Whereupon, a recess was taken.)
MR. BUTLER: All right. Let's go back on
video.
VIDEOGRAPHER: All right. Back on video at
2:49 p.m.
BY MR. BUTLER: (Resuming)
Q. Isn't it true, Mr. Bates, that Evans knew that
Reed was regularly backing the tractor trailer across
this highway?
A. No, that's not true.

Page 42

Page 41
Q. Well, have you been to the scene of the
collision?
A. No.
Q. You looked at photos of it, though; right?
A. Correct.
Q. Let's look one more time at Plaintiff's

Exhibit 10. Do you see it?
A. I do.
Q. What can you tell from this photo?
A. Can you be more specific?
Q. Well, yeah. I mean, what we were just talking about. What does this tell us about the regularity with which Reed parked his truck in this way?
A. I don't know if it tells us anything.
Q. Well, you see those black marks?
A. I do. I just have no idea how or when they got
there.
Q. Well, they lead to the spot that Reed was
backing his truck into, don't they?
MS. ADAIR: Object to the form.
THE WITNESS: I don't know whether Mr. Reed
would park next to his home or in front of that
vehicle that I see there; so I just -- I don't know.
BY MR. BUTLER: (Resuming)
Q. So if I were to say -- strike that. No, keep
it that way. If I were to say, Mr. Bates, this picture,
Plaintiff's Exhibit 10, shows us that Reed regularly
parked his truck in this way, would you disagree with me?
MS. ADAIR: Object to form.
THE WITNESS: I would have no basis to agree or
disagree. I don't know how those black marks got there.
BY MR. BUTLER: (Resuming)
Q. Well, isn't it true that Evans sent an
investigator out to the scene of this collision the day
after it happened?
A. We had someone go out and take photos, correct.
Q. And it was Custard Insurance Adjustors; right?
A. I believe that's correct, yes.
Q. You see Plaintiff's Exhibit No. 11 in front of you?
(Plaintiff's Exhibit No. 11 marked.)

## A. I do.

Q. Is says "Custard Insurance Adjustors" on the top right, doesn't it?
A. It does.
Q. And then when we scroll to the bottom, you can
see the Bates numbers that suggest this is something that Evans produced to me; right?
A. I assume. I don't know what those Bates

| Page 45 | ge 47 |
| :---: | :---: |
| numbers mean, but I assume you're right. | 1 residence any longer. But since he owns the truck, the |
| Q. As a lawyer, you know what Bates numbers are? | 2 tractor, I can't prevent him from taking his tractor |
| A. I do. | 3 there, but I did tell him, to the extent he has trailing |
| Q. All right. Let's go back up to the top picture | 4 equipment, that he is not to take that to his residence. |
| here (indicating) in Plaintiff's 11, and this says "Photo | 5 Q. All right. We'll get back to that too. So |
| No. 9. Date taken: 10/02/19'; right? | 6 when you talked to Reed, didn't you ask him whether he'd |
| A. You read that correctly | 7 kept backing across this road? |
| Q. The day after this collision; right? | 8 A. I did |
| A. Correct. | 9 Q. Did you ask anyone at your company? |
| Q. What do you see here (indicating) in this | 10 A. I did not. I called Mr. Reed myself. |
| little highlighted circle I just drew? | 11 Q. When did you call him? |
| A. Generally speaking, the same black marks that I | 12 A. Upon receiving your letter. |
| saw in the aerial. | 13 Q. Okay. So let's go ahead and pull my letter, |
| Q. Doesn't that tell you how he was parking? | 14 then, I guess, since you're talking about it. I believe |
| MS. ADAIR: Object to form | 15 that it's going to be Plaintiff's Exhibit 30. Yeah. All |
| THE WITNESS: No. | 16 right. Do you see my letter in front of you? |
| BY MR. BUTLER: (Resuming) | 17 (Plaintiff's Exhibit No. 30 marked.) |
| Q. Okay. Well, you can see these same black marks | 18 A. I do. |
| from Google Maps; right? | 19 Q. Marked Plaintiff's 30? |
| A. Is that where you took the aerial from? | $20$ <br> A. I see it. |
| Q. No. The aerial was taken by an accident | 21 Q. The date on this letter is June 11, 2020; |
| reconstruction expert. But my question was you could | 22 right? |
| the same black marks from Google Maps; right? | 23 A. Correct. |
| A. I can't recall the Google Map photo offhand. | 24 Q. Is it your testimony that until then you did |
| Q. Well, do you see Plaintiff's Exhibit 12 in | 25 not know whether Reed was continuing to back his truck |
| Page 46 | Page 48 |
| front of you? | 1 across Temple Avenue in this manner? |
| A. I do. | 2 A. Correct. |
| Q. Do you see those black marks? | 3 Q. Why did you wait until you heard from me to |
| A. I do. | 4 find out? |
| Q. And then I think if we look at Plaintiff's | 5 A. I was more concerned about what happened on |
| Exhibit 13, you can see them a little bit better; right | 6 October the 1st than I was about what happened after |
| A. I do. | 7 October the 1st; so if that is an error, that is my error |
| Q. Now, isn't it true that, even after this | 8 and my error alone, but I was more concerned about |
| collision and even after Reed saw Mr. Jordan get | 9 October 1. I was not as focused on future events. |
| airlifted to a hospital and even after Evans sent | 10 Q. Okay. Well, let's look at some photographs |
| investigator to the scene of this collision the day after | 11 that I'll represent to you were taken by Mr. Jordan's |
| it to see what happened, Reed kept backing his tractor | 12 family and friends. Do you see Plaintiff's Exhibit 20 on |
| trailer across Temple Avenue, this highway? | 13 the screen in front of you? |
| A. I don't know the answer to that, although I | 14 (Plaintiff's Exhibit No. 20 marked.) |
| know you wrote a letter to that effect. | 15 A. I do. |
| Q. We'll get to my letter. Thank you. Is your | 16 Q. What does it show? |
| testimony is that you don't know whether Reed kept | 17 A. To me it shows Mr. Reed following my |
| backing across this road? | 18 instruction. His vehicle, which he owns, is in his |
| A. That's my testimony, yes. | 19 driveway without a trailer on it. I don't know what date |
| Q. Why don't you know that? | 20 this was -- oh, October 9th. Okay. But it looks to me |
| A. Well, because I'm not physically there; so I | 21 like he's following instruction. |
| don't know whether he did or did not follow my | 22 Q. Okay. I'll represent to you also that, when |
| instructions, but I do know that I personally -- so not | 23 you see these dates in the top right, that is, as you |
| anyone else -- I personally spoke to him and let him know | 24 correctly assumed, the date the picture was shown -- was |
| that he was not allowed to take trailing equipment to his | 25 taken, rather. |

residence any longer. But since he owns the truck, the
tractor, I can't prevent him from taking his tractor
there, but I did tell him, to the extent he has trailing
equipment, that he is not to take that to his residence.
Q. All right. We'll get back to that too. So
when you talked to Reed, didn't you ask him whether he'd
kept backing across this road?
A. I did not.

Q. When did you call him?
A. Upon receiving your letter.
Q. Okay. So let's go ahead and pull my letter,
. . All
right. Do you see my letter in front of you?
(Plaintiff's Exhibit No. 30 marked.)
A. I do.
Q. Marked Plaintiff's 30?
A. I see it.
Q. The date on this letter is June 11,2020 ;
A. Correct.

Is it your testimony that until then you did
not know whether Reed was continuing to back his truck
across Temple Avenue in this manner?
A. Correct.
Q. Why did you wait until you heard from me to
A. I was more concerned about what happened on

October the 1st than I was about what happened after
October the 1st; so if that is an error, that is my error
and my error alone, but I was more concerned about
October 1. I was not as focused on future events.
Q. Okay. Well, let's look at some photographs
that I'll represent to you were taken by Mr. Jordan's
family and friends. Do you see Plaintiff's Exhibit 20 on
(Plaintiff's Exhibit No. 20 marked.)
A. I do.
Q. What does it show?
A. To me it shows Mr. Reed following my
instruction. His vehicle, which he owns, is in his
driveway without a trailer on it. I don't know what date
this was -- oh, October 9th. Okay. But it looks to me
ike he's following instruction.
Q. Okay. I'll represent to you also that, when
you see these dates in the top right, that is, as you
taken, rather.

| Page 49 | Page 51 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 Do you now see Plaintiff's Exhibit 21? | 1 A. Correct. |
| 2 (Plaintiff's Exhibit No. 21 marked.) | 2 Q. You see Plaintiff's Exhibit 25? |
| 3 A. I do. | 3 (Plaintiff's Exhibit No. 25 marked.) |
| 4 Q. This date is 11/04/19; correct? | 4 A. I do. |
| 5 A. Correct. | 5 Q. It's dated March the 1st, 2020; right? |
| 6 Q. What do you see here in Plaintiff's Exhibit 21? | 6 A. I honestly don't see a date on it. |
| 7 A. I see Mr. Reed's vehicle with trailing | $7 \quad$ Q. Can you see my cursor or mouse in the top |
| 8 equipment attached to it. | 8 right? |
| 9 Q. It's obviously backed into that same parking | 9 A. No. Even if I minimize our -- oh, there you |
| 10 spot, isn't it? | 10 go. Thank you. Yeah, March 1, 2020. |
| 11 A. Correct. | 11 Q. That shows the same truck parked -- backed into |
| 12 Q. Do you see Plaintiff's Exhibit 23 on the screen | 12 the same spot, doesn't it? |
| 13 in front of you? | 13 A. It does. |
| 14 (Plaintiff's Exhibit No. 23 marked.) | 14 Q. Do you see Plaintiff's Exhibit 26? |
| 15 A. I do, although the portion you're showing me is | 15 (Plaintiff's Exhibit No. 26 marked.) |
| 16 of the sky. | 16 A. I do. |
| 17 Q. I'll scroll down in just a second. What's the | 17 Q. That has a date on it of April 12, 2020; right? |
| 18 date? | 18 A. Correct. |
| 19 A. January 31 of, I think, 2020. I've got my mic | 19 Q. It shows the same truck and the trailer backed |
| 20 box right in front of the date. | 20 in the same spot; right? |
| 21 Q. Okay. What do you see? | $21 \quad$ A. It does. |
| 22 A. Mr. Reed's vehicle with a trailing equipment | 22 Q. Do you see Plaintiff's Exhibit 27? |
| 23 attached. | 23 (Plaintiff's Exhibit No. 27 marked.) |
| 24 Q. It's obviously backed into that same spot, | $24 \quad$ A. I do. |
| 25 isn't it? | 25 Q. That's dated April 19, 2020; right? |
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| A. Which means he did it successfully, I'd guess. | 1 A. Correct. |
| 2 Q. It's obviously backed into that same spot, | 2 Q. It shows the same truck backed in the same |
| 3 isn't it? | 3 spot; right? |
| 4 A. Successfully so, yes. | 4 A. Correct. |
| 5 Q. Okay. Now, I inadvertently missed the date | 5 Q. I'm showing you Plaintiff's Exhibit 28. Do you |
| 6 here. That was January 31, but there's a video that I'll | 6 see that? |
| 7 show you, and the video I'll represent to you comes from | 7 (Plaintiff's Exhibit No. 28 marked.) |
| 8 November 22 of 2019. Do you see the video screen in | 8 A. I do. |
| 9 front of you? | 9 Q. It's dated May the 5th -- excuse me -- |
| 10 A. I do. | 10 May 24, 2020; right? |
| 11 Q. All right. I'll hit play. | 11 A. Correct. |
| 12 (Whereupon, a video was played.) | 12 Q. It shows the same truck backed in the same |
| 13 That video showed Reed backing the tractor trailer | 13 spot; right? |
| 14 into that same spot, didn't it? | 14 A. Correct. |
| 15 A. I assume it was Reed, yeah. | 15 Q. So where is Mr. Reed supposed to park, or where |
| 16 Q. Okay. I will show you now what's been marked | 16 does he park his trailer now? |
| 17 as Plaintiff's Exhibit 24. Do you see that? | 17 A. At the Allegiant Intermodal yard. That was the |
| 18 (Plaintiff's Exhibit No. 24 marked.) | 18 instruction. |
| 19 A. I do. | 19 Q. Where is the Allegiant Intermodal yard? |
| 20 Q. And the date on this is -- let's see -- is the | 20 A. Other than generally saying Atlanta, I don't |
| 21 same. So the same date as Plaintiff's Exhibit 23. It | 21 know. |
| 22 says January 31, 2020; right? | 22 Q. Why could he not have parked his trailer at the |
| 23 A. It does. | 23 Allegiant Intermodal yard on October the 1st, 2019? |
| 24 Q. And here we see the same truck backed in the | 24 A. He could have. |
| 25 same spot with a trailer behind it; right? | 25 Q. Now, we've agreed, I think, that when this |



|  |  |
| :---: | :---: |
|  |  |
|  |  |
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| 13:24 15:21 22:16 | 22 | 12:19 29:19,22 |  |
| 32:8 33:1 37:8, 10 | vehicles 3:4 23:12 | 31:8 40:8 53:25 |  |
| 39:19 43:13, 19 | 24:22 36:5, 16 | Westlaw 5:11 |  |
| 44:3 47:1, 25 | venture 10:21 | we've 12:11 27:6 |  |
| 50:24 51:11,19 | verbatim 55:11 | 39:2,3 52:25 |  |
| 52:2, 12 53:13 | version 23:24 | withdrawn 57:11 |  |
| trucking 12:19, 20, | versus 35:6 | WITNESS 4:22 |  |
| 21 | vice $8: 23 \quad 14: 3$ | 5:4,6 6:15 8:7 |  |
| trucks 13:8 40:12 | 15:17 | 21:5 22:24 23:15 |  |
| true 12:23 24:19, | Video 3:14 5:19, | 24:13, 18 25:7 |  |
| 24 37:8 42:22,25 | 23 6:1, 12 42:11, | 27:4 28:10, 16 |  |
| 44:9 $46: 8 \quad 55: 19$ | 15, 18, 19 50:6, 7, 8, | 32:13 33:5, 15, 24 |  |
| 57:5, 8 | 12,13 55:9, 15 | 34:18 35:4,13, 19 |  |
| trust 17:5 | Videographer 2:14 | 36:4, 14 37:13,19 |  |
| try 7:16 | 5:18, 22, 25 6:13 | 38:25 40:7 43:21 |  |
| turn 24:5, 8, 20 | 42:15, 19 53:25 | 44:5 45:16 53:17 |  |
| 34:9, 11 | View 18:12 | witnesses 55:22 |  |
| turning 24:10 | visible 32:8 | word 7:11, 12 |  |
| two 7:10 20:13 | visited 17:8 18:5, 7 | words 7:10 15:3 |  |
| 23:11 26:6 28:24 | vouch 23:10 | work 7:7 8:2 |  |
| 34:8, 12 |  | 10:24 15:14 20:3 |  |
|  | < W > | worked 15:11 |  |
| < U > | wait 48:3 | written 26:19 |  |
| uncomfortable 31:5 | want 12:16 20:12 | wrote 46:15 |  |
| underneath 32:9 | 23:10 35:5 40:22 |  |  |
| 33:2 39:16 | wanted 19:10 | < Y > |  |
| understand 15:6 | 40:22 | y'all 5:11 |  |
| understanding | way 24:3 25:4 | yard 17:16, 19 |  |
| 14:12, 16 19:11 | 32:13 43:13 44:1, | 37:10 38:7 40:7, |  |
| 22:19 34:7 53:4 | 3 | 11,16 41:18 52:17, |  |
| Uniform 26:15 | web 13:12 16:24 website 4:5,6 | 19,23 |  |

## Exhibit "C"

sucunuim
UNIFORM TRAFFIC CITATION, SUMMONS AND ACCUSATION

Gags 2400
NEIL NUMBER

807566 E
GEORGIA STATE PATROL
$\qquad$ $5 \sin ^{\text {mos eth }}$


Within the State of Georgia, cid commit the following offense: SPEEDING Clocked byPATROL VEHICLE DITHER (Serial \# $\qquad$ CalibrationiCheck $\qquad$ ) at MPH in a $\qquad$ zone

$\qquad$



DATE COURT ACTION AND OTHER ORDERS
The within complaint hes been examined end there ls probable cause for filing the same. Leave is hereby granted to file the complaind.
Complaint filed OT cash deporit of $\$$

| Signature of parson taking bail | Slgnalure of parscre giving bail |
| :---: | :---: |
| Flne in the amount of \$ | eceived as requined by court schedute. |
| . ${ }^{\text {- }}$ | Slgnature of Clark |
| Contintance to | Reason |
| Continuance to | Reason. |
| Warrand lesued | Warrant Served |

Wainas Triai by Jury
ON ARRAUGNMENT, THE DEFENDANT PLEADS $\qquad$
APPEARANCE, PLEA OF GUILTY AND WAVUR

|  | have been advised that I am bring |
| :---: | :---: |
| charged wilh recefve is | and that the maximum punishment that I can fine. |

I have bean advised of my rights to be represented by counsel and have counsel appointed to represent me If I am indigent; plead noi guilty and be triad by a jury or a judge; confront the witnesses ageinsi me; and, not give
 threat or promise to enter this plea and do fresly and voturitarily enter my plaa of Gulty.
This $\qquad$ day of $\qquad$ , -
Accused $\qquad$
I
 entered. I am salisfied that there is a factual basis for the guifly plea which the acoused has entered and that 1 was entered freely and voluntarily with understanding of the nature of the charge and the cansequences of the plea.


Appeal Band of $\$$ filed for $\qquad$ $\rightarrow$
Appeal to $\qquad$都 Court
As provided by law, I hereby certify that Ihe information on this theket is a true abstracief, ithe record of thls costrt or bureau in this case.



Uuman $10-1-19$ GEOBGIA STATE PATROL

:5ill
331


星


$\qquad$ hec 121


## COURT ACTION AND OTHER ORDERS

The wilhin complaini has been examined and there is probable cause for filing the same. Leave is hereby granted to file the complalint.

Complalnt filed $\qquad$ or cash deposit of $\$$ $\qquad$
Bail fixed at \$

- Cash deposit

Signature of parson taking bail Signature of person giving bail
Flae in the amoum of \$ $\qquad$ recelved as requined by court schadule.


Waives Tflel by Jury $\qquad$
ON ARRAJGNMENT. THE DEFENDANT PLEADS $\qquad$

## APPEARANCE, PLEA OF GUILTY AND WAVER

| 1. | $\qquad$ have been advised that I am being and that the maximum punishment that I can$\qquad$ fine. |
| :---: | :---: |
| charged with |  |
| receive is |  |

1 have been advised of ray rights to be represented by counsed and have counsel appolnted to represent me if Iam indigent; plead not guflly and be tried by a jury or a jutge; confront ing witnesses against me; and, not give tnctiminatingevidenceragainst-myself:- herebywaive-these -ights;-stale-thal - havenotheen_Induced by-anyItreal or promise to enter this piea and to freely and voluntarily enler my plea of Gulty.
This $\qquad$ day of

I.
have advised the abovernamed accused as minicaled
above of hisher tighis, the nature of the case against himher and the possible consequences of the plea as enterad. I amsalisfied that there is a factual basis for the guilty plea votich the accused has entered and that it was entered freely and woluntarity with underslending of the nature of the charge and the consequencers of the plea.

| JUDGE |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cour DISPOSTION AND SENTENCE |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Trial: [ ] Jury [ ] Court Adjudicaled [ ] (1) Guilty [] Not Gulity |  |  |  |  |
| Cher Action: LY(2) Bond Forfeiture [ ] Nalle Prossed 【] No Btll [] No Recond |  |  |  |  |
| Sentence: Amount Fine/Forfalture $\$ 2.29,00$ |  |  |  |  |
| Oherarder |  |  |  |  |
| Appeal Bond of \$ $\qquad$ filed (for Appeal to $\qquad$ Courl |  |  |  |  |

 court or bureau in this case.

```
DISPOSTION 2-20220
```



STATE OF GEORGIA
COUNTY OF COWETA
THIS IS TO CERTIFY THAT THE FOREGOING IS A TRUE AND CORRECT COPY OF $\angle G 2$ OF THE DOCUMENT ON FJLE IN MY OFFICE WITNESS MY HAND AND OFFICIAL SEAL THIS Gth $\quad$ DAY OFAMareh_ 2020

## Exhibit "D"

Subject: RE: Discovery / Jordan v. Evans Delivery Company, et. al.
Date: $\quad$ Monday, September 28, 2020 at 11:57:11 AM Eastern Daylight Time
From: E. Andrew Treese
To: Jeb Butler
CC: Tom Giannotti, Morgan Lyndall, Stephanie Simmerman, Jennifer C. Adair
Attachments: image001.jpg
Received.
Andy
E. Andrew Treese

Freeman Mathis \& Gary, LLP
100 Galleria Parkway | Suite 1600 | Atlanta, GA 30339-5948
D: 770.818.1293|C: 404.392.1924
ATreese $@$, Fmglaw.com | www.fmglaw.com

## FMG FREEMANMATHIS\&GARY... <br> Attorneys at Law

A Corporate Counsel Magazine "Go-To Law Firm®" for litigation
CA \| CT \| FL \| GA \| KY \| MA \| NJ \| NY \| PA \| RI
Please read this important notice and confidentiality statement
From: Jeb Butler [jeb@butlerfirm.com](mailto:jeb@butlerfirm.com)
Sent: Monday, September 28, 2020 11:52 AM
To: E. Andrew Treese [ATreese@fmglaw.com](mailto:ATreese@fmglaw.com)
Cc: Tom Giannotti [tom@butlerfirm.com](mailto:tom@butlerfirm.com); Morgan Lyndall [morgan@butlerfirm.com](mailto:morgan@butlerfirm.com); Stephanie
Simmerman [stephanie@butlerfirm.com](mailto:stephanie@butlerfirm.com); Jennifer C. Adair [JAdair@fmglaw.com](mailto:JAdair@fmglaw.com)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] RE: Discovery / Jordan v. Evans Delivery Company, et. al.
Andy, as I wrote last Thursday, we do not see any reason for delay.

Jeb Butler
Butler Law Firm
10 Lenox Pointe
Atlanta, GA 30324
Telephone: 6789401444
Facsimile: 6783064646
jeb@butlerfirm.com

## From: E. Andrew Treese [ATreese@fmglaw.com](mailto:ATreese@fmglaw.com) <br> Sent: Monday, September 28, 2020 8:10 AM <br> To: Jeb Butler [jeb@butlerfirm.com](mailto:jeb@butlerfirm.com) <br> Cc: Tom Giannotti [tom@butlerfirm.com](mailto:tom@butlerfirm.com); Morgan Lyndall [morgan@butlerfirm.com](mailto:morgan@butlerfirm.com); Stephanie Simmerman [stephanie@butlerfirm.com](mailto:stephanie@butlerfirm.com); Jennifer C. Adair [JAdair@fmglaw.com](mailto:JAdair@fmglaw.com) <br> Subject: RE: Discovery / Jordan v. Evans Delivery Company, et. al.

Good morning, Jeb. Just following up on this. Though we have filed our motion to stay, I want to avoid any misunderstanding as to Reed's deposition. Can we agree to postpone that pending a ruling on the motion to
stay?

Please let me know. If we cannot reach agreement (and that may be the case), we probably need guidance from Judge Batten. His courtroom instructions require us to email a summary of any dispute to his courtroom deputy, and to indicate that we have conferred (or tried to). With the deposition noticed for Sept. 30 , I'd like to send any such message early today - preferably before noon, but l'll try to be flexible if you aren't available until early afternoon.

Andy
E. Andrew Treese

Freeman Mathis \& Gary, LLP
100 Galleria Parkway | Suite 1600 | Atlanta, GA 30339-5948
D: 770.818.1293 | C: 404.392.1924
ATreese@Fmglaw.com | www.fmglaw.com

## FMG FREEMANMATHIS\&GARY... <br> Attorneys at Law

A Corporate Counsel Magazine "Go-To Law Firm®" for litigation
CA \| CT \| FL \| GA \| KY \| MA \| NJ \| NY \| PA \| RI
Please read this important notice and confidentiality statement
From: E. Andrew Treese
Sent: Friday, September 25, 2020 10:13 AM
To: Jeb Butler [jeb@butlerfirm.com](mailto:jeb@butlerfirm.com)
Cc: Tom Giannotti [tom@butlerfirm.com](mailto:tom@butlerfirm.com); Morgan Lyndall [morgan@butlerfirm.com](mailto:morgan@butlerfirm.com); Stephanie
Simmerman [stephanie@butlerfirm.com](mailto:stephanie@butlerfirm.com); Jennifer C. Adair [JAdair@fmglaw.com](mailto:JAdair@fmglaw.com)
Subject: RE: Discovery / Jordan v. Evans Delivery Company, et. al.
Jeb, thanks for getting back to me on this. I tried to call a while ago, but you were about to take a deposition so I wanted to follow up by email. I wanted to give you a heads up that we are filing our suggestion of death and motion to stay this morning. In the alternative, we are asking the court to limit the scope of discovery.

Let's talk when you are available, please, about how to handle Reed's deposition in light of that motion. My preference would be simply to agree amongst counsel that Reed's depo will not proceed on September 30 and that, if our motion is denied, we will put Reed up promptly (within ten days) of the order denying the motion. If we can't reach an agreement along those lines, I will need to email Judge Batten's courtroom deputy a summary of our dispute, to determine whether the Court would like to address the issue informally or would rather that I file a motion for protective order.

Today is my wife's birthday so, though I'm in the office until noon, please call on my cellphone, 404-3921924.

Andy

## E. Andrew Treese

Freeman Mathis \& Gary, LLP
100 Galleria Parkway | Suite 1600 | Atlanta, GA 30339-5948
D: 770.818.1293|C: 404.392.1924
ATreese@Emglaw.com | www.fmglaw.com

A Corporate Counsel Magazine "Go-To Law Firm ${ }^{\circledR}$ " for litigation

## CA | CT | FL | GA | KY | MA | NJ | NY | PA | RI

Please read this important notice and confidentiality statement
From: Jeb Butler [jeb@butlerfirm.com](mailto:jeb@butlerfirm.com)
Sent: Thursday, September 24, 2020 11:45 AM
To: E. Andrew Treese [ATreese@fmglaw.com](mailto:ATreese@fmglaw.com)
Cc: Tom Giannotti [tom@butlerfirm.com](mailto:tom@butlerfirm.com); Morgan Lyndall [morgan@butlerfirm.com](mailto:morgan@butlerfirm.com); Stephanie Simmerman [stephanie@butlerfirm.com](mailto:stephanie@butlerfirm.com); Jennifer C. Adair [JAdair@fmglaw.com](mailto:JAdair@fmglaw.com)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] RE: Discovery / Jordan v. Evans Delivery Company, et. al.

Andy, thank you for your email.

We do not see any reason for delay. Mr. Jordan died without any spouse and having had only child, Jean Rocker. Our firm represents Jean Rocker, and has for quite some time. She is the wrongful death representative (O.C.G.A. §51-4-2(a)), the sole heir (O.C.G.A. §53-2-1(c)), and is in the process of being appointed as the personal representative of the estate.

We do not believe that there is any risk of Mr. Reed having to sit for two depositions. Even if there was, we have doubts that it would authorize postponing this long-noticed deposition. We would also point out that Reed's testimony will relate to liability, not damages. However if it will allay your concerns, we are willing to agree to only depose Mr. Reed once, regardless of the anticipated change from a personal injury case to a wrongful death/estate case (unless Mr. Reed had to be deposed for the preservation of evidence for some reason, such as moving out of subpoena range, which I don't foresee).

Thanks, Jeb

```
Jeb Butler
Butler Law Firm
10 Lenox Pointe
Atlanta, GA }3032
Telephone: 678 940 1444
Facsimile: 678 306 4646
jeb@butlerfirm.com
```

From: E. Andrew Treese [ATreese@fmglaw.com](mailto:ATreese@fmglaw.com)
Sent: Wednesday, September 23, 2020 1:36 PM
To: Jeb Butler [jeb@butlerfirm.com](mailto:jeb@butlerfirm.com)
Cc: Tom Giannotti [tom@butlerfirm.com](mailto:tom@butlerfirm.com); Morgan Lyndall [morgan@butlerfirm.com](mailto:morgan@butlerfirm.com); Stephanie Simmerman [stephanie@butlerfirm.com](mailto:stephanie@butlerfirm.com); Jennifer C. Adair [JAdair@fmglaw.com](mailto:JAdair@fmglaw.com)
Subject: Discovery / Jordan v. Evans Delivery Company, et. al.

Jeb,

I'm writing about the impact of Mr. Jordan's death on the discovery process to see if we can work something out. I'll try to call later today to discuss, but have a few calls coming up shortly and wanted to send this message while I was thinking about it (and because depending on the outcome, I have a stack of non-party subpoena that I need to either send, or hold off on sending for now).

I think you have expressed some concern about whether the probate court would move quickly enough to set up the estate within the 90 -day clock that a suggestion of death would trigger to substitute the proper party in interest. We understand that, which is why we haven't already filed a suggestion of death unilaterally. At the same time, Jennifer and I have some concern about Mr. Reed sitting for a deposition when (1) there is a chance (however slight) that someone other than you could represent the estate if Ms. Rocker is appointed the executor and (2) there may be a wrongful death claim coming as well, per the most recent supplemental discovery responses we received. We'd like to make sure Reed only sits for deposition once and that there is no chance someone could take a position later to state that they are entitled to depose him as well.

I see three options, then (but am certainly open to other suggestions): (1) we could continue discovery without limitation (which we oppose); (2) the defense could file a suggestion of death and a motion to stay discovery (which I'd rather avoid); or (3) we could jointly seek an order permitting the parties to continue discovery as to non-parties (police, witnesses to the accident, medical providers), but providing that "party" witnesses - Ms. Rocker, Mr. Reed, Evans employees - are not required to sit for deposition until after a motion to substitute has been filed and granted. Though this would delay Reed's depo, it would allow us to keep the case moving.

What are your thoughts? With Reed's depo noticed for September 30, I'd like to either seek an agreement on this or if we cannot agree, figure that our promptly.

Andy

E. Andrew Treese<br>Freeman Mathis \& Gary, LLP<br>100 Galleria Parkway | Suite 1600 | Atlanta, GA 30339-5948<br>D: 770.818.1293|C: 404.392.1924<br>ATreese@.Emglaw.com | www.fmglaw.com

A Corporate Counsel Magazine "Go-To Law Firm ${ }^{\circledR}$ " for litigation
CA | CT | FL \| GA | KY \| MA \| NJ | NY | PA | RI
Please read this important notice and confidentiality statement

## Exhibit "E"

## IN THE PROBATE COURT OF coweta <br> COUNTY <br> STATE OF GEORGIA

## IN RE: ESTATE OF

OLIVER DALTON JORDAN )

## DECEASED

 ,)

ESTATE NO.
2020507 )

## PETITION FOR LETTERS OF ADMINISTRATION



$$
1 .
$$

| OLIver dalton jordan |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| [Full name of Decedent] | First | Middle |  |

whose place of domicile was 1308 Wither Road, Newnan, Coweta County, GA 30263 , departed this life on $\qquad$ Street City County State Zip Code
August 23 2020 , intestate.
2.

The Decedent died intestate [without making a valid Last Will and Testament].
3.

Listed below are all of the Decedent's heirs at law, with age or majority status, address, and relationship to the Decedent set opposite the name of each:

4.

Required: [Provide sufficient factual information to enable the Court to conclude that all of the heirs of the Decedent are included and that there are no heirs of the same or closer degree according to O.C.G.A. §53-2-1. Provide the names of any deceased heirs, the name and address of his or her Personal Representative, if any, and include the date of death for each. [See instructions for further clarification.] Also, state here all pertinent facts that may govern the method of giving notice to any party and that may determine whether or not a guardian ad litem should be appointed for any party. If any heirs listed above are cousins, grandchildren, nephews or nieces of the Decedent, indicate the deceased ancestor through whom they are related to the Decedent.]
The Decedent was predeceased by his wife, Sara Jordan, who departed this life August 20, 2014, and he did not remarry before his passing.
The Decedent had one child, who is listed in Paragraph 3. The Decedent had no other children born or adopted, living or deceased, other than those listed herein.
The names provided in Paragraph 3 include each and every heir of the Decedent and there are no heirs of the same or closer degree
according to O.C.G.A. Section 53-2-1.

## 5.

Under the law, it is necessary that said estate be administered and Petitioner, Shelby Jean Jordan Rocker by reason of:

## [Initial one]


(a) being unanimously selected by all the heirs [This alternative does not apply if the surviving spouse is the sole heir and an action for divorce or separate maintenance was pending at the time of Decedent's death.j;
(b) being the surviving spouse where no action for divorce or separate maintenance was pending at the time of Decedent's death;
$\qquad$ (c) being (an) heir(s) and not the surviving spouse;
-
(d) having been selected by a majority in interest of the heirs;
(e) being (an) eligible person(s) as defined by O.C.G.A. § 53-6-1;
(f) being (a) creditor(s) of the Decedent (evidence of the indebtedness is attached);

- (g) being the county administrator.

6. 

To the knowledge of the petitioner(s), no other proceedings with respect to this estate are pending, or have been completed, in any other Probate Court in this or any other state.
7.

The Decedent passed leaving an estate of real property located in $\qquad$ County(ies), Georgia [list real property that is located in another state and/or country] having a total fair market value of approximately $\$ 0.00$

The Decedent passed leaving personal property as follows [provide approximate value]:

| $\checkmark$ | (a) Cash/bank accounts/certificates of deposit; |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | (b) Stocks/bonds/brokerage accounts; |
| $\checkmark$ | (c) Other assets of significant value [list]; |
|  | Decedent was plaintiff in lawsuit pending in the Northern District of Georgia, Case No. 3:20-cv-00060-TCB. <br> The potential award or sentement is of unknown value at this time. |

APPROXIMATE TOTAL VALUE OF PERSONAL PROPERTY
Decedent was plaintiff in lawsuit pending in the Northern Distriet of Georgia, Case No. 3:20-cv-00060-TCB
The potential award or settiement is of unknown value at this time.
$\qquad$
\$
\$ $\qquad$
8.
[Petitioner(s) MUST initial one]
(a) All heirs have consented to the waiver of bond and/or grant of certain powers contained in O.C.G.A. § 53-12-261 to the Administrator(s). Therefore, the Petitioner(s) hereby move(s) the Court to publish notice of the filing of the Petition and tender(s) with this Petition publication fees. /This only applies if all heirs have properly selected, acknowledged and consented to this option.]
$\qquad$ (b) The identities and/or addresses of all heirs are not known. Therefore, the Petitioner(s) hereby move(s) the Court to publish notice of the filing of the Petition, and tender(s) with this Petition publication fees.
(c) Notice of this Petition need not be published because the Petitioner(s) has/have listed all heirs at law and their addresses, and Petitioner(s) is/are not requesting a waiver of bond, inventory and returns, or the grant of powers contained in O.C.G.A. § 53-12-261.
9.

Additional Data: [Where full particulars are lacking, state here the reasons for any such omission.]

None.

WHEREFORE, Petitioner(s) pray(s):

1. Service be perfected; and
2. That if no good cause is shown to the contrary, Petitioner be appointed Administrator(s) of the estate of said Decedent.


## VERIFICATION

GEORGIA, COWETA

## COUNTY

Personally appeared before me the undersigned Petitioners) who, after being duly sworn, states) that the facts set forth in the foregoing Petition for Letters of Administration (and the attached Exhibit(s)) are true and correct.

Sworn to and subscribed before me this


My Commission Expires 817/2021


SHELBY JEAN JORDAN ROCKER
Printed Name of Petitioner

IN RE: ESTATE OF
OLIVER DALTON JORDAN
DECEASED

ESTATE NO. )

## SELECTION BY HEIRS <br> (AND CONSENT OF HEIRS TO WAIVER OF BOND AND/OR GRANT OF CERTAIN POWERS)

## [make a separate page of this form for each heir consenting]

The undersigned, being 18 years of age or older, laboring under no legal disability and being an heir of the above-named Decedent, hereby acknowledges service of a copy of the Petition for Letters of Administration and notice, waives copies of same, waives further service and notice, and hereby selects Shelby Jean Jordan Rocker to act as Administrator(s) of the above-styled estate. If so indicated below, I hereby consent for the Administrator(s) to be granted the additional powers contained in (a), (b) and/or (c) below.

(a) [optional; initial if applicable TO GRANT POWERS] The Personal Representative is required by law to file a petition for leave to sell and obtain other approval by the Court for various acts. By initialing here I agree that the Personal Representative should be awarded all of the powers contained in O.C.G.A. § 53-12-261 including the authority in (b) and (c) below; OR
$\qquad$ (b) [optional; initial if applicable TO WAIVE REPORTS] The Personal Representative is required by law to file reports (Inventory and Returns) and provide a copy to each interested party. By initialing here I agree that the Personal Representative should not be required to file any reports with the Court; AND/OR
(c) [optional; initial if applicable TO WAIVE BOND] The Personal Representative is required by law to post a bond as the Court deems necessary. By initialing here I agree that the Personal Representative should not be required to post a bond.
Sworn to and subscribed before me this $31^{25}$ day of Avgrst, 2020


Signature of Heir

Shelby jean jordan rocker
Printed Name of Heir

