## IN THE STATE COURT OF DEKALB COUNTY STATE OF GEORGIA

Plaintiff,

v.

Civil Action File No.: 16A60243

J. DAVIS TRANSPORTATION LLC, JOHNNIE DAVIS, ALLSTATE INSURANCE COMPANY, and JOHN DOES 1-3,

Defendants.

### PLAINTIFF'S SUR-REPLY REGARDING DEFENDANTS' NOTICE OF APPORTIONMENT OF NONPARTY FAULT

Defendants' notice of nonparty fault fails because it attempts to apportion liability to a person for whom Defendants are vicariously liable. *See P.N. Express, Inc. v. Zegel*, 304 Ga. App. 672, 679-80 (2010). Defendants are vicariously liable for Dameion Jones's misconduct for two independently-sufficient reasons: both the Federal Motor Carrier Safety Regulations ("FMCSR") *and* longstanding Georgia law impose vicarious liability.

### FEDERAL MOTOR CARRIER SAFETY REGULATIONS

The FMCSR are clear.<sup>1</sup> The FMCSR's definition of "employee" expressly "includes a driver of a commercial motor vehicle (including an independent contractor while in the course of

Page 1 of 6

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Available at https://www.fmcsa.dot.gov/regulations/title49/b/5/3.

operating a commercial motor vehicle)." FMCSR § 390.5 <sup>2</sup> (parenthetical in original). The FMCSR define "driver" to include "any person who operates any commercial motor vehicle." *Id.* 

Jones was a "driver," and therefore an "employee," under the FMCSR. There is no dispute that Jones was "operat[ing]" the truck. *See* Davis Dep. 19:10-12 (Jones was driving) (Ex. A). There can be no dispute that the truck was a "commercial motor vehicle," first because Defendant Johnnie Davis, the owner of Defendant J. Davis Transportation ("JDT"), admitted it; and second because Defendants have admitted that the subject truck's GVWR was 10,001 pounds or more. *Id.* at 25:16-17, 27:04-11 (admitting that the subject truck was a commercial motor vehicle); Def.'s Resp. to RFA 32 (admitting GVWR) (Ex. B); FMCSR § 390.5 (defining "commercial motor vehicle" to include vehicles with a GVWR of 10,001 pounds or more). Therefore, Jones was a "driver" under the FMCSR. Because he was a "driver," he was an "employee." Therefore, Defendants are vicariously liable for his misconduct.

The FMCSR apply to JDT despite Defendants' suggestion to the contrary. That is because the FMCSR apply to all "employers." *See* FMCSR § 390.3T(a)(1). "Employer," as defined by the FMCSR, includes any business that "owns or leases a commercial motor vehicle in connection with that business." FMCSR § 390.5. As Defendants have conceded, "JDT owned the subject straight truck." Opp. at 3. JDT obviously used the subject truck "in connection with" JDT's business, as the truck was making deliveries for JDT that day. *See* Davis Dep. at 31:14-32:19 (describing activities of truck). Because JDT owned a commercial vehicle and used it for its business, JDT was an "employer." Neither Defendants' citation to the

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> The formal citation is 49 C.F.R. § 390.5. Throughout this brief, Plaintiff uses the citation format "FMCSR § \_\_," although in all cases the formal citation is "49 C.F.R. § \_\_."

Texas Court of Appeals nor the fact that JDT owned the subject truck alters this straightforward application of federal law. Because JDT was an "employer," it was subject to the FMCSR, which impose vicarious liability.<sup>3</sup>

#### **STATE LAW**

The FMCSR alone establish vicarious liability. For present purposes, the analysis could end there. However, Defendants are also vicariously liable for Jones's misconduct under state law.

Under Georgia law, the question is whether Jones was driving the JDT truck in "furtherance of the master's business" and "in the scope of his master's business," *or* was driving the truck "for reasons unrelated to that employment." *Broadnax v. Daniel Custom Const., LLC*, 315 Ga. App. 291, 296 (2012). The evidence shows that Jones was driving the JDT truck in the course and scope of "the master's business." *See id.* According to Defendants, Jones was taking the truck back to Sears at the time of the collision, which is where the truck would remain overnight until deliveries resumed the next day. Davis Dep. 36:08-18 (Jones was supposed to "bring the truck in"), 34:07-17 (the truck was to be parked at Sears). According to what Plaintiff overheard a JDT employee say at the scene of the collision, Jones was "running [a] load" in the JDT truck. Dep. 35:08-36:04 (Ex. C). *Either way, the result is the same*: whether he was

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Defendants have not made this argument, but sometimes smaller companies like JDT make arguments over the FMCSR's internal references to "interstate commerce." The FMCSR apply to JDT despite those references, even if JDT operated only within the state of Georgia. That is because the regulations promulgated by Georgia's Department of Public Safety make the FMCSR applicable. Specifically, the Georgia regulations specify that where the FMCSR refer to "interstate" commerce, that phrase should be read to include "*intra*state" commerce. DPS 1-1(d) (emphasis added). (The DPS regulations are available online at <a href="https://dps.georgia.gov/georgia-department-public-safety-transportation-rulebook">https://dps.georgia.gov/georgia-department-public-safety-transportation-rulebook</a>. If the Court goes to that page and clicks "Chapter One – Motor Carrier Safety Regulations," a Word document containing the regulations will be downloaded to the Court's computer.) Therefore, the FMCSR apply to JDT even if JDT operated only "intrastate," within the state of Georgia.

returning JDT's truck to Sears or "running [a] load," Jones was driving the truck in furtherance of JDT's business, not "for purely personal reasons disconnected from the authorized business of the master." *Broadnax*, 315 Ga. App. at 296. Therefore, Jones was acting in the course and scope of Defendants' business.

Importantly, it *does not matter* whether Johnnie Davis gave permission for Jones to drive the truck *or whether Davis specifically prohibited him from driving*. Georgia law is clear:

whether a specific act was authorized has never been the test of liability. In fact, it makes no difference that the master did not authorize a particular act, or even know of the servant's act or neglect, or even if he disapproved or forbade it, he is equally liable, if the act be done in the course of his servant's employment.

*Id.* This rule makes sense. If the fact that an act was 'forbidden' allowed an employer to evade vicarious liability, then virtually every truck company could evade liability for its drivers' misconduct. A truck company would write "never speed or drive negligently!" in its policy manuals, then assert that if a driver drove over the speed limit or committed a negligent act, that act removed the driver from the course and scope of his employment, thereby absolving the company of vicarious liability. That is not the law.

Further, *Jones was doing what he was asked to do*. Johnnie Davis has admitted that Shannon Ollie, a JDT employee, *asked* Jones to "take the truck back" to Sears. Davis Dep. 36:08-18. At the time of the collision, Jones was doing what he was told. Although Defendants now attempt to evade that fact by asserting (without citation) that "Ollie was not an employee," the evidence shows that he was. *See* Opp. at 4, n.2 (quoted language). The evidence unequivocally establishes that Ollie—who told Jones to take the truck—was "an employee driver." Davis Dep. 7:14-16. The evidence further shows that *this was not the first time Jones* 

had driven the truck at Ollie's behest. Davis Dep. 42:18-21. Because Jones was doing what he had been asked to do, the cases that Defendants cite—arising mainly from people in the restaurant industry who got into fights—are inapposite.

### **CONCLUSION**

Because Defendants are vicariously liable for Jones's misconduct under the FMCSR *or* Georgia law, Defendants may not apportion liability to Jones. *PN Exp.*, 304 Ga. App. at 679-80.

This 4<sup>th</sup> day of August, 2017.

Respectfully submitted,

**BUTLER TOBIN LLC** 

BY: /s/ James E. Butler III

JAMES E. BUTLER III

Georgia Bar No. 116955

DARREN M. TOBIN

Georgia Bar No. 200383

1932 N. Druid Hills Rd. NE Suite 250 Atlanta, Georgia 30319 jeb@butlertobin.com darren@butlertobin.com (t) 404 587 8423 (f) 404 581 5877

ATTORNEYS FOR PLAINTIFF

STATE COURT OF DEKALB COUNTY, GA. 8/4/2017 10:47:31 AM E-FILED

BY: Jewel Hendrix

### IN THE STATE COURT OF DEKALB COUNTY STATE OF GEORGIA

Plaintiff,

v.

Civil Action File No.: 16A60243

J. DAVIS TRANSPORTATION LLC, JOHNNIE DAVIS, ALLSTATE INSURANCE COMPANY, and JOHN DOES 1-3,

Defendants.

#### **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I hereby certify the **PLAINTIFF'S SUR-REPLY REGARDING DEFENDANTS' NOTICE OF APPORTIONMENT OF NONPARTY FAULT** was served upon all parties by e-filing same using the Odyssey eFileGA System which will automatically send email notification of said filing to the following attorneys of record:

Thomas E. Brennan, Esq. W. Jason Pettus, Esq. Fain, Major & Brennan, P.C. 100 Glenridge Point, Suite 500 Atlanta, GA 30342-1445

This 4<sup>th</sup> day of August, 2017.

**BUTLER TOBIN LLC** 

BY: /s/ James E. Butler III

JAMES E. BUTLER III

Georgia Bar No. 116955

1932 N. Druid Hills Rd. NE, Suite 250 Atlanta, Georgia 30319 jeb@butlertobin.com (t) 404 587 8423 (f) 404 581 5877

ATTORNEYS FOR THE PLAINTIFF

# Exhibit A

## IN THE STATE COURT OF DEKALB COUNTY STATE OF GEORGIA

CARLAS SMITH,

v.

Plaintiff,

CIVIL ACTION FILE NO. 16A60243

J. DAVIS TRANSPORTATION LLC, JOHNNIE DAVIS, ALLSTATE INSURANCE COMPANY, and JOHN DOES 1-3,

Defendants.

VIDEO DEPOSITION OF JOHNNIE DAVIS

February 3, 2017

1:41 p.m.

Fain Major & Brennan

100 Glenridge Point Parkway NE

Suite 500

Atlanta, Georgia

By Jennifer A. Davis, RMR, CRR, CCR-2496

1	was supposed to do what he was told. Is that right?							
2	A. Yes.							
3	Q. All right. You said that we talked							
4	about driving. Was Jones supposed to drive the							
5	truck?							
6	A. No.							
7	Q. All right. In fact, he didn't have a							
8	driver's license, as I understand it.							
9	A. No, he didn't.							
10	Q. He was driving at the time of the wreck.							
11	Do you agree with that?							
12	A. Yes.							
13	Q. And then the officer wrote Jones either a							
14	ticket or a couple of tickets for improper lane							
15	change and I think also driving without a license.							
16	Is that right?							
17	A. I'm not 100 percent sure.							
18	Q. Okay. What do you understand							
19	A. I thought he was arrested. That's what I							
20	know.							
21	Q. Okay. Do you know what he was charged							
22	with?							
23	A. Driving without a license. That's the							
24	only ticket I'm aware of.							
25	Q. Okay. Did Jones pass away?							

# Exhibit B

### IN THE STATE COURT OF DEKALB COUNTY STATE OF GEORGIA

$\mathbf{C}$	Δ	R	T.	Δ	S	SN	1	Т	Н	
$\sim$		т.	_			$\mathbf{v}$				

Plaintiff,

v.

J. DAVIS TRANSPORTATION LLC, ALLSTATE INSURANCE COMPANY, and JOHN DOES 1-3.

Defendants.

Civil Action No.: \_\_\_\_\_

### PLAINTIFF'S FIRST REQUESTS FOR ADMISSIONS TO J. DAVIS TRANSPORTATION LLC

Plaintiff serves these Requests for Admission upon the above-named Defendants, and requests that they be fully admitted in writing under oath within the time provided by law. These Requests for Admission are served pursuant to the Georgia Civil Practice Act, including O.C.G.A. §§ 9-11-26 and 9-11-36.

Note that a request for admission is *not* objectionable on the grounds that it calls for a legal conclusion or contains a mixed question of law and fact. To the contrary, "requests for admission under OCGA § 9–11–36(a) are not objectionable even if they require opinions or conclusions of law, as long as the legal conclusions relate to the facts of the case." G.H. Bass & Co. v. Fulton Cnty. Bd. of Tax Assessors, 268 Ga. 327, 329 (1997).

Each matter of which an admission is required shall be deemed admitted unless, within the time allowed by law after service of these requests, you serve Plaintiff with a written answer or objection to such matter.

If you fail to admit the genuineness of any document or the truth of any matter addressed

J. Davis Transportation LLC taught its drivers that they were responsible for following the Federal Motor Carrier Safety Regulations ("FMCSR").

29.

J. Davis Transportation LLC did not teach its drivers that they were responsible for following the Federal Motor Carrier Safety Regulations ("FMCSR").

30.

J. Davis Transportation LLC taught Dameion Jones that he was responsible for following the Federal Motor Carrier Safety Regulations ("FMCSR").

31.

J. Davis Transportation LLC did not teach Dameion Jones that he was responsible for following the Federal Motor Carrier Safety Regulations ("FMCSR").

32.

The gross vehicle weight rating ("GVWR") of the subject truck was 10,001 pounds or more.

33.

The gross vehicle weight rating ("GVWR") of the subject truck was 26,001 pounds or more.

34.

To lawfully drive the subject truck at the time of the wreck, the driver of the truck needed to have a commercial driver's license ("CDL").

### The Collision

35.

# IN THE STATE COURT OF DEKALB COUNTY STATE OF GEORGIA

CARLAS SMITH,

Plaintiff,

\*

vs. \* Civil Action No. 16A60243

\*

J. DAVIS TRANSPORTATION, LLC., JOHNNIE DAVIS, ALLSTATE INSURANCE COMPANY, and JOHN DOES 1-3,

Defendants. \*

## J. DAVIS TRANSPORTATION, LLC'S RESPONSE TO PLAINTIFF'S FIRST REQUESTS FOR ADMISSIONS

COMES NOW J. DAVIS TRANSPORTATION, LLC and responds to Plaintiff's First Request for Admissions as follows:

1.

Defendant admits Paragraph 1 of Plaintiff's Requests for Admissions.

2.

Defendant admits Paragraph 2 of Plaintiff's Requests for Admissions.

3.

Defendant admits Paragraph 3 of Plaintiff's Requests for Admissions.

4.

Defendant admits Paragraph 4 of Plaintiff's Requests for Admissions.

5.

Defendant admits Paragraph 5 of Plaintiff's Requests for Admissions.

6.

Defendant admits Paragraph 6 of Plaintiff's Requests for Admissions.

Defendant admits Request to Admit No. 28. 29. Defendant denies Request to Admit No. 29. **30.** Defendant denies Request to Admit No. 30. 31. Defendant admits Request to Admit No. 31. 32. Defendant admits Request to Admit No. 32. 33. Defendant denies Request to Admit No. 33 34. Defendant denies Request to Admit No. 34. **35.** Defendant admits Request to Admit No. 35. 36. Defendant denies Request to Admit No. 36. **37.** Defendant admits Request to Admit No. 37.

Defendant admits Request to Admit No. 38.

39.

38.

Defendant admits Request to Admit No. 39.

# **Exhibit C**

### Carlas Smith Smith vs. J. Davis Transportation

	Differ vo. 3. Davis Transportation						
	Page 1						
1	IN THE STATE COURT OF DEKALB COUNTY						
2	STATE OF GEORGIA						
	CARLAS SMITH,						
3							
	Plaintiff, CIVIL ACTION FILE NO.						
4	16A60243						
	vs.						
5							
	J. DAVIS TRANSPORTATION LLC						
6	JOHNNIE DAVIS, ALLSTATE						
	INSURANCE COMPANY, and						
7	JOHN DOES 1-3						
8	Defendants.						
9							
10	DEPOSITION OF						
12	CARLAS SMITH						
13	December 20, 2016						
14	1:03 p.m.						
15	1932 North Druid Hill Road						
16	Atlanta, GA						
17	Diondre' Thomas, RPR, CCR-B-2433						
18							
19							
20							
21							
22							
23							
24							
25							

Veritext Legal Solutions

800.808.4958

### Carlas Smith Smith vs. J. Davis Transportation

Page 35

- Q. Any other conversation you recall them having?
- A. No, because they were walking away. I didn't hear anything else until the owner guy came.
  - Q. How do you know it was the owner guy?
  - A. Because they said it.
  - Q. Okay. Tell me what happened there.
- A. When the owner guy came out he was cursing and fussing. He got out with another guy and he was fussing and cursing them, and he was asking, "Why the F did you let him drive?" He was like, "That was your load." And the guy who came to take the charge, he said, "I had something to do and he was just running an errand for me and he was just running the load." It was y'all MF'ing load and they just kept fussing. I don't know.
  - Q. Anything else that you can remember?
  - A. No, not that I recall.
- Q. The owner guy said to the other gentleman who came to take the charge, "Why did you let Mr. Jones drive that was your load?"
- A. Well, I don't know if he said Mr. Jones, but he was talking to him. He was like, "Why did you let him take your load?"
  - Q. He was referring to why did you let

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Page 36

Mr. Jones?

- A. Yeah. Why did you let him take your load?

  And the other guy was like he had something to do, so he was doing him a favor.
- Q. Do you recall any other conversations that the owner guy had that you overheard?
- A. No. He just kept cursing them saying they F'd up.
- Q. Did you ever hear any other conversations between the owner guy and anybody else?
- A. No. But the lady and her four kids or three kids came up. She was like, "Don't call the police, that's my old man. If you call the police he's going to go to jail."

The guy, the one who came to take the charge, he was like just take him with you, and they were trying to leave. The guy in the white shirt -- I remember he had a white shirt. The guy in the white shirt told the guy that hit me, "Y'all go ahead and leave I'm gone take the charge." He was telling him to leave. The lady was like we are going to fix your car, don't worry about it.

So they were all pleading with me the whole time I was on the phone calling the police telling them to please come because they're trying to leave